From: James McKenna

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To:

Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; 'jworonets@anchorgea.com'; 'rjw@nwnatural.com' Cc:

Subject: Re: Dispute deadline Date: 09/22/2010 01:21 PM

Understood, we try to process it as soon as practical. Thanks, Jim.

-- Original Message --

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>

To: James McKenna Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>; Jennifer Worenets cc. numphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Jennifer Worene (jworonets@anchorqea.com) <jworonets@anchorqea.com>; Bob Wyatt (rjw@nwnatural.com) <rjw@nwnatural.com> Sent: Wed Sep 22 15:18:41 2010 Subject: Re: Dispute deadline

Jim, we are not inclined to grant an extension. We will get you a letter by COB today. However, given that we only received the proposed resolution language late in the day on the 15th, I do not see how we could possibly gotten something back to you late last week. I think we have been clear that we needed to run the proposed resolution by our

Eric

From: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To:

Date: 09/22/2010 11:40 AM Subject: Dispute deadline

Eric:

Eric:
I just left you a voice mail about the schedule crunch we have regarding the deadline Friday for notice of dispute. You mentioned to Bob that you'll be sending a letter to LWG tomorrow that acknowledges resolution of EPA's directed comments. We were hoping to have the letter late last week or earlier this week. Since we won't have your letter until tomorrow, it will make it difficult for each member of Exec to review the document and determine it reflects the agreed-upon resolutions (several of our Exec members are out of State). Therefore, we feel it would be prudent to extend the dispute deadling to close of business Wednesday, Sept. 29th. If you agree, please provide an email documenting the extension to next Wednesday. Thanks, Jim.